

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 4, 2003

LR 11, 14

this year; let's do it next year. The questions...some of the questions that have come up today, Senator Brashear's questions, Senator Redfield's questions, are good questions, and they go to the relationship between what we're putting into the law now and the rest of that constitutional provision. When we sat down to reconcile LR 11 with LR 14, the focus was on the reconciliation of the philosophies represented by those two statutes, by those two propositions. That job, I think, was admirably done, in the sense that we came up with a compromise that didn't make anybody completely happy, but that represents a manner of going forward, and represents a reconciliation of LR 11 and LR 14. Now, when we get to Select File, and I sure hope we do, between now and then, I think there's a second job of reconciliation that needs to take place, that needs to include those interests who are represented by the other sections of the constitutional provision, the horse racing people, the bingo people, the charitable gaming people. At that point in time, I think we need to get together again and say to each one of those groups, do you have any problem with this, as far as, does it interfere with your section of the statute? And that's when we put together whatever additional language is necessary to reassure all those people that casinos are not intended to take over horse racing, that they're not intended to take over simulcasting, that they're not going to have bingo games, and they're not going to have the traditional charitable gaming games. Senator...and for example, just for example, Senator Brashear brought up the issue, well, if you have up to eight, but in the previous part of the constitutional amendment horse racing is allowed, do you have to count the horse racing things that we already have, since they are a form of gaming too, in the number eight? Well, that can be answered very simply by simply adding three words, saying, this provision allows up to eight facilities under this subsection. That's all you have to do, and we've cleared up that problem. Similarly, it will be a fairly easy matter to clean up the other problems. The gaming definition that is put in there is broad. It's very, very difficult to start messing with the definitions. So we started with what we knew would work for purposes of casinos. And if one of those other interests out there that's protected in other parts of this constitutional provision is concerned that we may be impinging on their constitutionally given right, or we may be